

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 or 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For The Year Ended December 31, 2010

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 or 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission File No. 333-149784

# CAR CHARGING GROUP, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Nevada

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

03-0608147

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

1691 Michigan Avenue, Suite 425  
Miami Beach, Florida

(Address of principal executive offices)

33139

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (305) 521-0200

Securities registered under Section 12(b) of the Exchange Act:

**None.**

Securities registered under Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act: **Common stock, par value \$0.001 per share.**

(Title of class)

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§ 229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of “large accelerated filer,” “accelerated filer” and “smaller reporting company” in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer  Smaller reporting company   
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes  No

Aggregate market value of voting common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of April 11, 2011, was \$7,122,612.

As of April 11, 2011, the registrant had 23,609,067 common shares issued and outstanding and 10,000,000 Preferred Series A shares issued and outstanding.

**Documents Incorporated by Reference:**

None.

---

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

### PART I

ITEM 1.	DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS	1
ITEM 2.	PROPERTIES	7
ITEM 3.	LEGAL PROCEEDINGS	7
ITEM 4.	(REMOVED AND RESERVED)	7

### PART II

ITEM 5.	MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY; RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES	7
ITEM 6.	SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA	8
ITEM 7.	MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS	9
ITEM 7A.	QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK	14
ITEM 8.	FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA	F-
ITEM 9.	CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE	15
ITEM 9A(T).	CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES	16

### PART III

ITEM 10.	DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE	17
ITEM 11.	EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	19
ITEM 12.	SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS	20
ITEM 13.	CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE	21
ITEM 14.	PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES	21

### PART IV

ITEM 15.	EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES	22
<b>SIGNATURES</b>		<b>23</b>

---

## **PART I**

### **ITEM 1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS**

#### General

The Company was incorporated in October 2006 in Nevada with the intention of providing personal consultation services to the general public. On December 7, 2009, we entered into a Share Exchange Agreement with Car Charging, Inc., a Delaware corporation (“Car Charging”).

At closing, pursuant to the majority consent of our board of directors and shareholders, we (i) approved an amendment to our Articles of Incorporation changing our name to Car Charging Group, Inc.; and (ii) approved the authorization of 20,000,000 shares of preferred stock of the Company. Additionally, we filed a Certificate of Designation with the state of Nevada designating rights to the authorized preferred stock of the Company (the “Series A Convertible Preferred Stock”).

We are an owner, provider and servicer of electric car charging stations to building owners, parking garages, municipalities provide for the EV owner to have charging services in public areas on our network of charging stations. Our Company provides and installs car charging stations at public locations at no cost to the landowner. Furthermore, our Company is able to facilitate the purchase of a car charging station through our subsidiary, eCharging Stations, LLC. We subcontract to approved local vendors the actual installation work and maintenance of the charging stations.

Our Company firmly believes that it is important to be at the forefront of infrastructure development of the EV industry. In order for EV’s to become a mainstream reality, charging stations need to be in place and readily available.

We will derive our main source of revenue from shared fees in connection with providing all necessary electric car charging services. As deregulation of electricity continues to take place throughout the United States, and the continued proliferation of alternative fuel methods comes to fruition, we will be in a greater position to capitalize on those opportunities.

During February, 2011, the Shareholders and Board of Directors authorized a decrease of our, issued and outstanding common stock, in the form of a reverse stock-split, on a one-for-fifty (1:50) basis (the “Reverse Stock-Split”). All share and per share amounts included in the consolidated financial statements have been adjusted retroactively to reflect the effects of the Reverse Stock-Split.

#### Properties

We will maintain our principal offices at 1691 Michigan Avenue, Miami Beach, Florida, 33139. Our telephone number is (305) 521-0200. Our website is [www.CarCharging.com](http://www.CarCharging.com) we can be contacted by email at [info@CarCharging.com](mailto:info@CarCharging.com).

## Industry Overview

At the start of the 20th century, electricity generally cost over \$0.20 per kilowatt hour, and could be as high as \$0.40. Gasoline could be purchased for \$0.05 cents a gallon. In Canada in 1999, electricity costs were \$0.10 (CDN) per kWh (about 25% of its price a century ago) and gasoline was \$0.70 (CDN) per liter - more than \$2.00 per gallon (50 times its price a century ago). Today, the average price of a gallon of regular gasoline across the United States exceeds \$3.50 (over 70 times the price at the start of the 20th Century).

Before 1898, finding gasoline for a car was an adventure in itself. By 1905, many general stores, carriage shops, and liverys were keeping large cans of gasoline on-hand to fuel the few gasoline cars that came by. Business in gasoline was not brisk initially, but it was lucrative - those that could afford the cars could afford to pay a premium for the gasoline. In 1905, 86% of the cars sold in the U.S. were powered by gasoline; electric and steam automobiles carried about 7% of the market each.

By 1920, gasoline pumps were prevalent throughout North America, before electrification became a national initiative in Canada or the United States, and long before the standardized and interconnected electrical grid that we take for granted today was in place. According to Chevron, they built the first gasoline station in the United States in 1913, which started a boom in the building of these facilities until they were ubiquitous throughout the United States by 1920. In 1916 alone, over 200 petroleum companies were established in the United States, which coincides neatly with the decline of the electric car.

Electrical recharging facilities were not nearly as common. Many “service stations” would not have had access to an electrical grid at the turn of the century. Even if they did, the electric cars did not use standard voltages, which made it expensive to buy the equipment to recharge cars of different voltages.

Why has the electric vehicle industry come back to life over the last 3-7 years? For starters, environmental awareness as we know it today was non-existent a century ago. In addition, the price of gasoline has continued to rise, and electricity is simply a more affordable option. Most experts agree that what hindered the electrical vehicle industry years ago was cheap and readily available gasoline, as opposed to expensive electricity and a fragmented electrical generating industry and distribution network.

In recent years, the costs of gasoline and electricity have reversed directions. More importantly, there has been a concerted effort on the part of big businesses to bring electrical vehicles and the ease of recharging them to main stream America. Almost all of the major car manufacturers have committed to the electric vehicle industry going forward. General Motors, Ford, Chrysler, Nissan, Honda, BMW, Mercedes, Tesla, and Fisker are just some examples of the car manufactures committed to making the electric vehicle industry a reality. Concurrently, major utility companies are all working on their infrastructure to make it easier to charge an electric vehicle. The financial commitments that have been made and that will continue to be made over the coming years suggests that this time around, the electric vehicle will become a real and viable option to car buyers.

The last and most important reason why the electric vehicle has come back to life is the unprecedented loans and grants that both the US Government, as well as many other governments, have made to both small and big businesses. Whether it is for the actual manufacturing of a new car, or to startup companies looking to capitalize on new infrastructure technologies, governments have committed to spending billions of dollars to see that the electric vehicle industry as a whole will succeed.

The Ford Motor company was awarded a \$5.9 billion loan in June of 2009s. Tesla Motors, Silicon Valley's electric car manufacturer, received a \$465 million loan. All of the aforementioned loans came from the US Government's \$25 billion program to be used solely for development of electric/plug-in hybrid vehicles.

The government of France announced they will spend \$2.2 billion to build a network of charging stations. Beyond that, France is putting the burden on building owners. The government is making the installation of charging stations mandatory in office parking lots by 2015 and any new apartment buildings with parking lots must host charging stations by 2012, and we anticipate that other governments will follow their lead.

### Product Suppliers

Our product line consists of the CT2000 family of the ChargePoint Networked Charging Stations, manufactured by Coulomb Technologies, which are specifically designed for the North American market. The CT2000 family of charging stations supports both Level 1 and Level 2 (208/240V @ 30A) charging.

The ChargePoint Networked Charging Stations combined with the ChargePoint Network Operating System (NOS) form a smart charging infrastructure for plug-in electric vehicles called the ChargePoint Network.

Although we are not exclusively using Coulomb's charging stations, we believe they are at the forefront of the electric vehicle charging station market. Strategically, it makes the most sense to be aligned with their devices and infrastructure. As the market continues to mature, we intend to upgrade when new technologies become available.

### Competition

The competitive landscape in the development of a national or regional electric vehicle infrastructure is young and still fragmented. No clear leader or leaders have emerged and the marketplace is still in its infancy, leaving room for new arrivals to ascend. However, the terrain is such that competitors may quickly become complimentary to one another, allowing for greater mobility and enhanced driving distance for the electric vehicle operator through the ability to charge at different owned charging stations. This in turn will work towards further acceptance of electric vehicles, bringing additional revenue to all these companies and allowing the infrastructure to grow. Furthermore, because Car Charging is in the business of securing and owning Car Charging stations and not developing the technology behind the chargers, competitors become partners if and when Car Charging seeks new chargers to equip additional locations with as the technology further develops

The Electric vehicle manufacturing marketplace is made up of a variety of companies who either offer direct distribution or work with independent distributors, including

GE- General Electric is currently developing a Level 2 (220 Volt) Networked Charging Station.

Better Place is a company developing the technology and working on the deployment of a network of battery charging stations and battery switch stations. Better Place is building its first electric vehicle network in Israel. Better Place has also announced an agreement with Renault-Nissan where they will develop an electric vehicle with the capacity to have its battery removed and swapped through the better place switching stations being developed.

350Green is a project developer that designs, builds and operates networks of plug-in electric vehicle (EV) charging stations. The company distributes its stations by partnering with retail hosts at select, high-traffic shopping centers and other places.

Beam Charging has a similar business model to ours but is currently only operating in the New York area.

Ecotality manufactures and sells Level 2 and 3 "Blink" chargers. Under a Federal Grant "The EV Project" they anticipate installation of approximately 14,000 Level 2 and 300-400 Level 3 chargers in 6 states.

## Outlook

When evaluating our future, we believe the most important consideration is the number of locations we sign up to install charging stations. We could sign up a 600 spot parking garage, but only install one charging station upon the signing of our contract. What that location now represents to us as a company is 599 other potential charging locations that represent future revenues to us. We will have minimum capital needs to secure locations, and will only spend as the market warrants. Through the use of technology, we will be able to monitor the usage of the charging stations. As the market develops, we can increase the number of charging stations per location.

## Target Markets

We launched our business in the State of Florida but have expanded to locations throughout the United States. Our goal is to expand nationally and internationally in conjunction with the demand for our service.

## Government/Regulatory Approval

The laws, regulations, policies or current administrative practices of any government body, organization or regulatory agency in the United States or any other jurisdiction, may be changed, applied or interpreted in a manner which can adversely alter the Company's ability to carry-on its business

Local regulations for charging station installation vary from City to City. Compliance with such regulation(s) may cause installation delays, but these are not unique for any product that requires construction as part of its installation.

The Company intends to charge customers a per session rate for its services, rather than base charges on kilowatt hours used so as not to be treated as a regulated public utility. The California Public Utilities (PUC) Commission, at its July 29, 2010 meeting determined that companies that sell electric vehicle charging services to the Public will not be regulated. This designation therefore, would support the Company's billing intension spaceot binding on any other regulator or jurisdiction. Other jurisdictions, including the States of Washington and Virginia, are in process of adopting . Such new regulation will lead to the Company billing using kilowatt hours.

## Employees

Currently, there are seven employees.

## ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

### Risks Relating to Our Business

***WE HAVE A LIMITED OPERATING HISTORY THAT YOU CAN USE TO EVALUATE US, AND THE LIKELIHOOD OF OUR SUCCESS MUST BE CONSIDERED IN LIGHT OF THE PROBLEMS, EXPENSES, DIFFICULTIES, COMPLICATIONS AND DELAYS FREQUENTLY ENCOUNTERED BY A SMALL DEVELOPING COMPANY.***

We were incorporated in Nevada in September 2006. We have no significant assets or financial resources. The likelihood of our success must be considered in light of the problems, expenses, difficulties, complications and delays frequently encountered by a small developing company starting a new business enterprise and the potentially the highly competitive environment in which we will operate. Since we have a limited operating history, we cannot assure you that our business will be profitable or that we will ever generate sufficient revenues to meet our expenses and support our anticipated activities.

***WE NEED TO MANAGE GROWTH IN OPERATIONS TO MAXIMIZE OUR POTENTIAL GROWTH AND ACHIEVE OUR EXPECTED REVENUES AND OUR FAILURE TO MANAGE GROWTH WILL CAUSE A DISRUPTION OF OUR OPERATIONS RESULTING IN THE FAILURE TO GENERATE REVENUE.***

In order to maximize potential growth in our current and potential markets, we believe that we must expand our marketing operations. This expansion will place a significant strain on our management and our operational, accounting, and information systems. We expect that we will need to continue to improve our financial controls, operating procedures, and management information systems. We will also need to effectively train, motivate, and manage our employees. Our failure to manage our growth could disrupt our operations and ultimately prevent us from generating the revenues we expect.

In order to achieve the above mentioned targets, the general strategies of our company are to maintain and search for hard-working employees who have innovative initiatives; on the other hands, our company will also keep a close eye on expanding opportunities.

***IF WE NEED ADDITIONAL CAPITAL TO FUND OUR GROWING OPERATIONS, WE MAY NOT BE ABLE TO OBTAIN SUFFICIENT CAPITAL AND MAY BE FORCED TO LIMIT THE SCOPE OF OUR OPERATIONS.***

If adequate additional financing is not available on reasonable terms, we may not be able to undertake expansion or continue our marketing efforts and we would have to modify our business plans accordingly. There is no assurance that additional financing will be available to us.

In connection with our growth strategies, we may experience increased capital needs and accordingly, we may not have sufficient capital to fund our future operations without additional capital investments. Our capital needs will depend on numerous factors, including (i) our profitability; (ii) the release of competitive by our competition; (iii) the level of our investment in research and development; (iv) the amount of our capital expenditures, including acquisitions , and (V) our growth.. We cannot assure you that we will be able to obtain capital in the future to meet our needs.

Even if we do find a source of additional capital, we may not be able to negotiate terms and conditions for receiving the additional capital that are acceptable to us. Any future capital investments could dilute or otherwise materially and adversely affect the holdings or rights of our existing shareholders. In addition, new equity or convertible debt securities issued by us to obtain financing could have rights, preferences and privileges senior to our common stock. We cannot give you any assurance that any additional financing will be available to us, or if available, will be on terms favorable to us.



### ***NEED FOR ADDITIONAL EMPLOYEES***

The Company could face strong competition within the local area from competitors in the EV charging services industry who could duplicate the model. These competitors may have substantially greater financial resources and marketing, development and other capabilities than the Company. In addition, there are very few barriers to enter into the market for our services. There can be no assurance, therefore, that any of our competitors, many of whom have far greater resources will not independently develop services that are substantially equivalent or superior to our services. Therefore, an investment in the Company is very risky and speculative due to the competitive environment in which the Company intends to operate.

### ***OUR FUTURE SUCCESS IS DEPENDENT, IN PART, ON THE PERFORMANCE AND CONTINUED SERVICE OF OUR OFFICERS.***

We are presently dependent to a great extent upon the experience, abilities and continued services of Michael D. Farkas, Andy Kinard and Richard Adeline, our management team. The loss of services of Mr. Farkas, Mr. Kinard or Mr. Adeline could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operation.

### ***WE ARE IN AN INTENSELY COMPETITIVE INDUSTRY AND THERE CAN BE NO ASSURANCE THAT WE WILL BE ABLE TO COMPETE WITH OUR COMPETITORS WHO MAY HAVE GREATER RESOURCES.***

The Company could face strong competition within the local area competitors in the EV charging services industry who could duplicate the model. These competitors may have substantially greater financial resources and marketing, development and other capabilities than the Company. In addition, there are very few barriers to enter into the market for our services. There can be no assurance, therefore, that any of our competitors, many of whom have far greater resources will not independently develop services that are substantially equivalent or superior to our services. Therefore, an investment in the Company is very risky and speculative due to the competitive environment in which the Company intends to operate.

### ***OUR FUTURE SUCCESS IS DEPENDENT UPON THE FUTURE GENERATION OF A MARKET FOR OUR SERVICE***

The Company currently remains and will continue to remain in a position of dependence on the creation and sustainability of the electric car market. While a vast majority of the major car manufacturers have made strong financial commitments to the electric vehicle industry going forward, there is no guaranty that the industry will become viable. Without a fleet of electric vehicles on the road needing recharging, there exists no opportunity for the Company to provide its intended service. Therefore, an investment in the Company is very risky and speculative due to the uncertain future of the electric vehicle market.

### ***Risks Associated with Our Shares of Common Stock***

### ***IF WE FAIL TO ESTABLISH AND MAINTAIN AN EFFECTIVE SYSTEM OF INTERNAL CONTROL, WE MAY NOT BE ABLE TO REPORT OUR FINANCIAL RESULTS ACCURATELY OR TO PREVENT FRAUD. ANY INABILITY TO REPORT AND FILE OUR FINANCIAL RESULTS ACCURATELY AND TIMELY COULD HARM OUR REPUTATION AND ADVERSELY IMPACT THE TRADING PRICE OF OUR COMMON STOCK.***

Effective internal control is necessary for us to provide reliable financial reports and prevent fraud. If we cannot provide reliable financial reports or prevent fraud, we may not be able to manage our business as effectively as we would if an effective control environment existed, and our business and reputation with investors may be harmed. As a result, our small size and any current internal control deficiencies may adversely affect our financial condition, results of operation and access to capital. We have not performed an in-depth analysis to determine if in the past un-discovered failures of internal controls exist, and may in the future discover areas of our internal control that need improvement. We currently do not have an audit committee or audit committee financial expert. Our Code of Ethics requires members of our management to report any conduct by our Chief Executive Officer or Chief Financial Officer, believed to be in violation of law or business ethics or in violation of any provision of the Code of Ethics to our audit committee. Because of the lack of an audit committee, violations of our Code of Ethics or violation of law or business ethics by Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer may go unreported.

***OUR SHARES OF COMMON STOCK ARE VERY THINLY TRADED, AND THE PRICE MAY NOT REFLECT OUR VALUE AND THERE CAN BE NO ASSURANCE THAT THERE WILL BE AN ACTIVE MARKET FOR OUR SHARES OF COMMON STOCK EITHER NOW OR IN THE FUTURE.***

Our shares of common stock are very thinly traded, and the price if traded may not reflect our value. There can be no assurance that there will be an active market for our shares of common stock either now or in the future. The market liquidity will be dependent on the perception of our operating business and any steps that our management might take to bring us to the awareness of investors. There can be no assurance given that there will be any awareness generated. Consequently, investors may not be able to liquidate their investment or liquidate it at a price that reflects the value of the business. If a more active market should develop, the price may be highly volatile. Because there may be a low price for our shares of common stock, many brokerage firms may not be willing to effect transactions in the securities. Even if an investor finds a broker willing to effect a transaction in the shares of our common stock, the combination of brokerage commissions, transfer fees, taxes, if any, and any other selling costs may exceed the selling price. Further, many lending institutions will not permit the use of such shares of common stock as collateral for any loans.

**ITEM 2. DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY**

We currently lease an office facility in Miami Beach, Florida.

**ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

We are currently not involved in any litigation. There is no action, suit, proceeding, inquiry or investigation before or by any court, public board, government agency, self-regulatory organization or body pending or, to the knowledge of the executive officers of our company or any of our subsidiaries, threatened against or affecting our company, our common stock, any of our subsidiaries or of our company's or our company's subsidiaries' officers or directors in their capacities as such, in which an adverse decision could have a material adverse effect.

**ITEM 4. (REMOVED AND RESERVED)**

**PART II**

**ITEM 5. MARKET FOR COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS**

**Market Information**

Our common stock has traded on the OTC Bulletin Board system under the symbol "CCGI" since December 2009.

The following table sets forth the high and low trade information for our common stock for each quarter since we completed the Reverse Merger on December 7, 2009 at an a price adjusted based on the Company's 50-1 reverse stock split. The prices reflect inter-dealer quotations, do not include retail mark-ups, markdowns or commissions and do not necessarily reflect actual transactions.

<b>Quarter ended</b>	<b>Low Price</b>	<b>High Price</b>
December 31, 2010	\$ 20.55	\$ 35.00
September 30, 2010	32.50	75.00
June 30, 2010	26.50	50.50
March 31, 2010	32.50	55.00

## **Holders**

As of March 23, 2011 in accordance with our transfer agent records, we had 100 record holders of our Common Stock.

## **Dividends**

To date, we have not declared or paid any dividends on our common stock. We currently do not anticipate paying any cash dividends in the foreseeable future on our common stock. Although we intend to retain our earnings, if any, to finance the exploration and growth of our business, our Board of Directors will have the discretion to declare and pay dividends in the future.

Payment of dividends in the future will depend upon our earnings, capital requirements, and other factors, which our Board of Directors may deem relevant.

## **Stock Option Grants**

To date, we have not granted any stock options.

## **Warrants Granted**

The following table summarizes outstanding warrants by Expiration Date at December 31, 2010:

<u>Quantity</u>	<u>Exercise Price</u>	<u>Expiration Date</u>
20,000	15.00	December 7, 2012
89,333	15.00	December 7, 2014
50,000	30.00	April 1, 2013
5,000	15.00	April 1, 2013
5,000	42.50	April 12, 2013
440,000	15.00	April 27,2013
3,834	30.00	May 5, 2015
103,000	15.00	August 25, 2012
930,433	15.00	August 25, 2013
10,000	51.50	August 25, 2013
<u>1,656,600</u>		

## **ITEM 6.     SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA**

Not applicable.

## ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OR PLAN OF OPERATIONS

*Except for the historical information, the following discussion contains forward-looking statements that are subject to risks and uncertainties, and which speak only as of the date of this annual report. No one should place strong or undue reliance on any forward looking statements. The Company's actual results or actions may differ materially from these forward-looking statements for many reasons, including the risks described in Item 1A and elsewhere in this annual report. This Item should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and related notes and with the understanding that the Company's actual future results may be materially different from what is currently expected or projected by the Company.*

The following provides information which management believes is relevant to an assessment and understanding of our results of operations and financial condition. The discussion should be read along with our financial statements and notes thereto. Car Charging Group, Inc. (formerly New Image Concepts, Inc.) was created as a result of a merger (Reverse Merger) on December 7, 2009, with Car Charging, Inc. New Image Concepts Inc. was a development stage entity with no certain revenue plan; Car Charging Inc. was formed on September 3, 2009 to develop a market to service electric vehicle charging. In this connection, the Company intends to continue to identify and acquire the best possible charging devices and install them on properties (large garages, and the like) owned by third parties, which through LLC arrangements, share in service revenue generated from customer charging station use. Such use is not anticipate in any significant volume until sometime after the 4<sup>th</sup> quarter of 2011 , when automobile manufacturers are scheduled to mass produce and sell electric vehicles to the public.

To date Company's operations have been devoted primarily to developing a business plan, , raising capital for future operations, contracts with property owner/operators (the "Provider Agreements) and administrative functions. The Company intends to grow through internal development and selected acquisitions. During 2010 the Company initiated installation of charging stations pursuant to the terms of its Provider Agreements. However, the ability of the Company to achieve its business objectives is contingent upon its success in raising additional capital until adequate revenues are realized from operations. Therefore, no substantial revenue or profit is anticipated in the near or foreseeable future.

During 2009, the Company raised \$885,000 in capital through a private placement in addition to \$100,000 in convertible notes that was its initial source of funds.

During 2010, the Company increased its funding by \$1,448,046 through the private sales of its common stock.

By December 31, 2010, the Company had entered into contracts to provide charging services on third party premises, Provider Agreements, with eighteen (18) entities and completed installation of eight (8) charging stations (EV devices).

The Company continues to acquire charging stations from Coulomb Technologies Inc., but consistent with its policy and business plan, continuously reviews the availability of acquiring EV devices from other manufacturers.

The Company's business plan anticipates that significant capital will be needed during 2011 and 2012 to build a network of charging stations throughout the United States. In this connection, during February, 2011, the Shareholders and Board of Directors authorized a decrease of our issued and outstanding common stock, in the form of a reverse stock-split, on a one-for-fifty (1:50) basis (the "Reverse Stock-Split"). Accordingly, the amount of new capital needed will vary depending on several significant factors that include quantity of electric vehicle sales, gasoline prices, success of the Company's Provider Agreement program, cost of EV's and the Company's continued acceptance by the capital markets.

Pursuant to our business plan, to stimulate growth, control cash-flow and minimize costs, the Company has implemented a policy of both acquiring leads to third party owners for Provider Agreements through independent contractors and utilization of in-house personnel in pursuit of Provider Agreements.. Company executives accordingly, are employed to close and maintain Provider Agreements and relationships, in addition to those who coordinate installations and operations of EV charging stations.

Wherever possible, the Company has adopted a policy of issuing warrants to avoid cash compensation expenses and encourage stock sales (subscriptions). These warrant transactions can result in significant non-cash compensation charges and other non-cash charges that are generally reflected in the financial statements as “compensation”, “general and administrative” or as “change in fair value” in the statements of operations and cash flow.

The foregoing results in a balance sheet account referred to as “Derivative Liabilities.” Our cumulative liability related to these derivative transactions can be summarized at December 31, 2010 as consisting of liability related to warrants of \$984,597 and conversion features of notes payable of \$2,483,267. The Company evaluates its convertible debt, warrants or other contracts to determine if those contracts or embedded components of those contracts qualify as derivatives to be separately accounted for in accordance with paragraph 810-10-05-4 of the FASB Accounting Standards Codification and paragraph 815-40-25 of the FASB Accounting Standards Codification. The result of this accounting treatment is that the fair value of the embedded derivative is marked-to-market each balance sheet date and recorded as a liability. In the event that the fair value is recorded as a liability, the change in fair value is recorded in the Statement of Operations as other income or expense, \$3,211,356 gain for 2010. Upon conversion or exercise of a derivative instrument, the instrument is marked to fair value at the conversion date and then that fair value is reclassified to equity.

#### FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010

Our net loss during the year ended December 31, 2010, is attributable to the fact that we have not derived any revenue from operations to offset our business development expenses. Although we are pleased to note that auto manufacturers have initiated electric vehicle sales in the United States and that such sales should lead to production of revenue, our loss for the year ended December 31, 2010 amounted to \$5,709,559, net of a gain on change in fair value of derivative liability of \$3,211,356. The loss primarily consists of compensation (including non-cash warrant compensation \$6,995,084, and other cash compensation, including consulting, of \$870,753; as well as non-cash general and administrative charges of \$309,000 issued as common stock, rent of \$70,011 and travel of \$93,227. During the year management entered into negotiation and agreements to install EV at locations throughout the United States; and is in the process of hiring additional sales personnel, arranging to lease additional office space and negotiating additional potential installation sites.

Manufacture and significant supply of electric vehicles that will require utilization of the Company’s services is not anticipated to begin until the 4<sup>th</sup> quarter of 2011; this gives the Company adequate time to develop its distribution plan and additional capital sources.

#### FOR THE THE PERIOD FROM SEPTEMBER 3, 2009 TO DECEMBER 31, 2009

-  
The Company was originally incorporated in Delaware on September 3, 2009 and was later recapitalized (December 7, 2009) through a reverse merger with a publicly traded shell company that was originally incorporated in Nevada on October 3, 2006. The combined entity adopted the Car Charging Group, Inc. name and identity of the Nevada corporation. Concurrently, the Company raised \$885,000, through a private placement of its common stock (and warrants).

Accordingly, during the period from September 3, 2009 to December 31, 2009 the Company incurred a loss of \$6,801,183, primarily attributable to compensation \$263,278 and a loss in the change in fair value of derivatives of \$6,454,754. The loss in fair value primarily reflects the value of warrants attached to stock subscriptions and the effect of the anticipated conversion of notes payable to common stock.

#### Liquidity and Capital Resources

The Company has primarily financed its activities from sales of capital stock of the Company and from loans from related parties. A significant portion of the funds raised from the sale of capital stock has been used to cover working capital needs such as office expenses and various consulting and professional fees, as well as our initial acquisition an installation of charging stations.

For the year ended December 31, 2010, \$1,530,508 of cash was used to finance our operations; \$173,826 was invested in charging stations and other depreciable property. Our accumulated deficit since inception (including liability for embedded derivatives) is \$12,510,742. Such cash use and accumulated losses have resulted primarily from costs related to various consulting and professional fees and costs incurred in connection with capital transactions (embedded derivatives).

Management believes that additional funding will be necessary in order for the Company to continue as a going concern. Significant additional capital or debt must be incurred to develop the Company's business plan (that is, the acquisition and installation of charging stations prior to the generation of service revenue). The Company is investigating several forms of private debt and/or equity financing, although there can be no assurances that the Company will be successful in procuring such financing or that it will be available on terms acceptable to the Company. If the Company is unable to generate profits, or unable to obtain additional funds for its capital investment needs, it may have to cease operations.

#### Critical Accounting Policies

##### a. Basis of presentation

The Company's consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("U.S. GAAP").

The consolidated financial statements include all accounts of Car Charging as of December 31, 2010 and for the period from September 3, 2009 (inception) through December 31, 2009. New Image Concepts, Inc. is included as of December 31, 2009 and for the period from December 7, 2009 through December 31, 2009. All inter-company balances and transactions have been eliminated.

##### b. Development stage company

The Company is a development stage company as defined by ASC 915-10 "*Development Stage Entities*". The Company is still devoting substantially all of its efforts on establishing the business and its planned principal operations have not commenced. All losses accumulated since inception has been considered as part of the Company's development stage activities.

##### c. Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("U.S. GAAP") requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

##### d. Discount on debt

The Company allocated the proceeds received from convertible debt instruments between the underlying debt instruments and has recorded the conversion feature as a liability in accordance with paragraph 815-15-25-1 of the FASB Accounting Standards Codification. The conversion feature and certain other features that are considered embedded derivative instruments, such as a conversion reset provision have been recorded at their fair value within the terms of paragraph 815-15-25-1 of the FASB Accounting Standards Codification as its fair value can be separated from the convertible note and its conversion is independent of the underlying note value. The conversion liability is marked to market each reporting period with the resulting gains or losses shown on the Statement of Operations.

e. Derivative instruments

The Company evaluates its convertible debt, warrants or other contracts to determine if those contracts or embedded components of those contracts qualify as derivatives to be separately accounted for in accordance with paragraph 810-10-05-4 of the FASB Accounting Standards Codification and paragraph 815-40-25 of the FASB Accounting Standards Codification. The result of this accounting treatment is that the fair value of the embedded derivative is marked-to-market each balance sheet date and recorded as a liability. In the event that the fair value is recorded as a liability, the change in fair value is recorded in the Statement of Operations as other income or expense. Upon conversion or exercise of a derivative instrument, the instrument is marked to fair value at the conversion date and then that fair value is reclassified to equity.

In circumstances where the embedded conversion option in a convertible instrument is required to be bifurcated and there are also other embedded derivative instruments in the convertible instrument that are required to be bifurcated, the bifurcated derivative instruments are accounted for as a single, compound derivative instrument.

The classification of derivative instruments, including whether such instruments should be recorded as liabilities or as equity, is re-assessed at the end of each reporting period. Equity instruments that are initially classified as equity that become subject to reclassification are reclassified to liability at the fair value of the instrument on the reclassification date. Derivative instrument liabilities will be classified in the balance sheet as current or non-current based on whether or not net-cash settlement of the derivative instrument is expected within 12 months of the balance sheet date.

f. Fair value of financial instruments

The Company follows paragraph 825-10-50-10 of the FASB Accounting Standards Codification for disclosures about fair value of its financial instruments and paragraph 820-10-35-37 of the FASB Accounting Standards Codification (“Paragraph 820-10-35-37”) to measure the fair value of its financial instruments. Paragraph 820-10-35-37 establishes a framework for measuring fair value in accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (U.S. GAAP), and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. To increase consistency and comparability in fair value measurements and related disclosures, Paragraph 820-10-35-37 establishes a fair value hierarchy which prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value into three (3) broad levels. The fair value hierarchy gives the highest priority to quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs. The three (3) levels of fair value hierarchy defined by Paragraph 820-10-35-37 are described below:

Level 1 Quoted market prices available in active markets for identical assets or liabilities as of the reporting date.

Level 2 Pricing inputs other than quoted prices in active markets included in Level 1, which are either directly or indirectly observable as of the reporting date.

Level 3 Pricing inputs that are generally observable inputs and not corroborated by market data.

The carrying amounts of the Company’s financial assets and liabilities, such as cash, prepaid expenses, accounts payable and accrued expenses, approximate their fair values because of the short maturity of these instruments. The Company’s notes payable approximates the fair value of such instrument based upon management’s best estimate of interest rates that would be available to the Company for similar financial arrangement at December 31, 2010 and 2009.

The Company revalues its derivative liability at every reporting period and recognizes gains or losses in the consolidated statement of operations that are attributable to the change in the fair value of the derivative liability. The Company has no other assets or liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis.

g. Revenue recognition

The Company applies paragraph 605-10-S99-1 of the FASB Accounting Standards Codification for revenue recognition. The Company will recognize revenue when it is realized or realizable and earned. The Company considers revenue realized or realizable and earned when all of the following criteria are met: (i) persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, (ii) the product has been shipped or the services have been rendered to the customer, (iii) the sales price is fixed or determinable, and (iv) collectability is reasonably assured.

h. Stock-based compensation for obtaining employee services

The Company accounts for equity instruments issued to employees and directors pursuant to paragraphs 718-10-30-6 of the FASB Accounting Standards Codification, whereby all transactions in which services are the consideration received for the issuance of equity instruments are accounted for based on the fair value of the consideration received or the fair value of the equity instrument issued, whichever is more readily measurable. The measurement date used to determine the fair value of the equity instrument issued is the earlier of the date on which the performance is complete or the date on which it is probably that performance will occur.

The Company's policy is to recognize compensation cost for awards with service conditions and when applicable a graded vesting schedule on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period for the entire award.

i. Equity instruments issued to parties other than employees for acquiring goods or services

The Company accounts for equity instruments issued to parties other than employees for acquiring goods or services under guidance of section 505-50-30 of the FASB Accounting Standards Codification ("FASB ASC Section 505-50-30"). Pursuant to FASB ASC Section 505-50-30, all transactions in which goods or services are the consideration received for the issuance of equity instruments are accounted for based on the fair value of the consideration received or the fair value of the equity instrument issued, whichever is more reliably measurable. The measurement date used to determine the fair value of the equity instrument issued is the earlier of the date on which the performance is complete or the date on which it is probable that performance will occur.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In January 2010, the FASB amended guidance now codified as FASB ASC Topic 810, "Consolidation." FASB ASC Topic 810 changes the accounting and reporting for minority interests, which will be re-characterized as non-controlling interests and classified as a component of equity. The amendment of FASB ASC Topic 810-10 establishes the accounting and reporting guidance for non-controlling interests and changes in ownership interests of a subsidiary. FASB ASC Topic 810 is effective for us on a prospective basis for business combinations with an acquisition date beginning in the first quarter of fiscal year 2010. The adoption of FASB ASC Topic 810 as amended did not have an impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In January 2010, the FASB amended its guidance now codified as FASB ASC Topic 505-20, "Equity – Stock Dividends and Stock Splits," to clarify that the stock portion of a distribution to shareholders that allows them to elect to receive cash or stock with a limit on the amount of cash that will be distributed is not a stock dividend for purposes of applying Topics 505 and 260. These provisions of FASB ASC Topic 505 are effective for interim and annual periods ending after December 15, 2009 and, accordingly, are effective for us for the current fiscal reporting period. The adoption of this pronouncement did not have an impact on our financial condition or results of operations as we do not currently have distributions that allow shareholders such an election.



In January 2010, the FASB issued authoritative guidance which requires new disclosures and clarifies existing disclosure requirements for fair value measurements. Specifically, the changes require disclosure of transfers into and out of “Level 1” and “Level 2” (as defined in the accounting guidance) fair value measurements, and also require more detailed disclosure about the activity within “Level 3” (as defined) fair value measurements. This guidance is effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009, with the exception of the disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements of Level 3 assets and liabilities, which is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010. As this guidance only requires expanded disclosures, the adoption did not impact the Company’s consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In December 2010, the FASB issued amendments that modify Step 1 of the goodwill impairment test for reporting units with zero or negative carrying amounts. For those reporting units, an entity is required to perform Step 2 of the goodwill impairment test if it is more likely than not that a goodwill impairment exists. In determining whether it is more likely than not that goodwill impairment exists, an entity should consider whether there are any adverse qualitative factors indicating that impairment may exist. The qualitative factors are consistent with the existing guidance, which requires that goodwill of a reporting unit be tested for impairment between annual tests if an event occurs or circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of a reporting unit below its carrying amount. These amendments are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2010. Early adoption is not permitted. The adoption of this guidance is not expected to have a significant impact on the Company’s consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

A variety of proposed or otherwise potential accounting standards are currently under study by standard-setting organizations and various regulatory agencies. Because of the tentative and preliminary nature of these proposed standards, management has not determined whether implementation of such proposed standards would be material to our consolidated financial statements.

Management does not believe that any other recently issued, but not yet effective accounting pronouncements, if adopted, would have a material effect on the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

#### **Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements**

We do not have any off-balance sheet arrangements, financings, or other relationships with unconsolidated entities or other persons, also known as “special purpose entities” (SPEs).

#### **ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK**

Not applicable because we are a smaller reporting company.

**ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA**

CAR CHARGING GROUP, INC.  
(A Development Stage Company)

December 31, 2010 and 2009  
Index to Financial Statements

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	Page #
Consolidated Balance Sheets	F-1
Consolidated Statements of Operations	F-2
Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Deficit	F-3
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	F-4
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements	F-5

---

## **REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of  
Car Charging Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
(A development stage company)

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of Car Charging Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries, a development stage company, (the "Company") as of December 31, 2010 and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' deficit, and cash flows for the year then ended. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audit. The consolidated financial statements of Car Charging Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries as of December 31, 2009 were audited by other auditors, whose report dated April 15, 2010, expressed an unqualified opinion and included an explanatory paragraph regarding the Company's ability to continue as a going concern.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purposes of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Car Charging Group, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2010 and, and the consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for the year then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 3 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company had an accumulated deficit of \$12,510,742 at December 31, 2010, and had a net loss of \$5,709,559, and cash used in operations of \$1,503,508 for the year then ended. These factors raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans in regards to these matters are also described in Note 3. The consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

/s/ Goldstein Schechter Koch P.A.  
Goldstein Schechter Koch P.A.

April 12, 2011  
Coral Gables, Florida

---

**REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of

Car Charging Group, Inc.

(A development stage company)

Miami Beach, Florida

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of Car Charging Group, Inc., a development stage company, (the "Company") as of December 31, 2009 and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' deficit and cash flows for the period from September 3, 2009 (inception) through December 31, 2009. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Car Charging Group, Inc. as of December 31, 2009 and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the period from September 3, 2009 (inception) through December 31, 2009 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 3 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company had an accumulated deficit at December 31, 2009, and had a net loss and net cash used in operations for the period from September 3, 2009 (inception) through December 31, 2009, respectively. These factors raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans in regards to these matters are also described in Note 3. The consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

/s/ Li & Company, PC

Li & Company, PC

Skillman, New Jersey

April 15, 2010

---

**CAR CHARGING GROUP, INC.**  
(A Development Stage Company)  
Consolidated Balance Sheets  
December 31,

ASSETS	2010	2009
Current Assets:		
Cash	\$ 373,868	\$ 603,156
Inventory	-	72,768
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	78,004	95,694
<b>Total current assets</b>	<b><u>451,872</u></b>	<b><u>771,618</u></b>
<b>OTHER ASSETS:</b>		
Deposits	69,696	36,257
EV Charging Stations (net of accumulated depreciation of \$11,242 and \$0, respectively)	216,616	-
Office and computer equipment (net of accumulated depreciation of \$5,373 and \$441, respectively)	30,995	17,191
<b>Total other assets</b>	<b><u>317,307</u></b>	<b><u>53,448</u></b>
<b>TOTAL ASSETS</b>	<b><u>\$ 769,179</u></b>	<b><u>\$ 825,066</u></b>
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT</b>		
Current Liabilities:		
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$ 104,432	\$ 183,065
Accrued interest	7,268	1,900
Current maturities of Convertible notes payable, net of discount of \$15,614	69,387	-
<b>Total current liabilities</b>	<b><u>181,087</u></b>	<b><u>184,965</u></b>
Convertible notes payable, net of discount of \$0 and \$43,247 and current maturities	-	56,753
Derivative liabilities	3,467,864	7,126,823
<b>Total liabilities</b>	<b><u>3,648,951</u></b>	<b><u>7,368,541</u></b>
Stockholders' Deficit:		
Series A Convertible Preferred stock: \$0.001 par value; 20,000,000 shares authorized and designated as Series A; 10,000,000 shares issued and outstanding	10,000	10,000
Common stock: \$0.001 par value; 500,000,000 shares authorized; 1,796,817 and 1,456,484 shares issued and outstanding, respectively	1,797	1,456
Additional paid-in capital	9,619,173	246,252
Deficit Accumulated in Development Stage	(12,510,742)	(6,801,183)
<b>Total Stockholders' Deficit</b>	<b><u>(2,879,772)</u></b>	<b><u>(6,543,475)</u></b>
<b>TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT</b>	<b><u>\$ 769,179</u></b>	<b><u>\$ 825,066</u></b>

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

**CAR CHARGING GROUP, INC.**  
(A Development Stage Company)

Consolidated Statements of Operations

	For the Year Ended December 31, 2010	For the Period from September 3, 2009 (Inception) to December 31, 2009	For the Period from September 3, 2009 (Inception) to December 31, 2010
Revenues	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Operating expenses:			
Compensation	7,832,887	263,278	8,096,165
Other operating expenses	260,176	40,574	300,750
General and administrative	799,274	34,935	834,209
Total operating expenses	8,892,337	338,787	9,231,124
Loss from operations	(8,892,337)	(338,787)	(9,231,124)
Other income (expense):			
Interest expense, net	(28,578)	(7,642)	(36,220)
Gain (loss) on change in fair value of derivative liability	3,211,356	(6,454,754)	(3,243,398)
Total other income (expense)	3,182,778	(6,462,396)	(3,279,618)
Loss before income taxes	(5,709,559)	(6,801,183)	(12,510,742)
Income tax provision	-	-	-
Net loss	\$ (5,709,559)	\$ (6,801,183)	\$ (12,510,742)
Net loss per common share – basic and diluted	\$ (3.47)	\$ (4.83)	
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding – basic and diluted	1,646,789	1,407,929	

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

**CAR CHARGING GROUP, INC.**  
(A Development Stage Company)

Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Deficit  
For the Period from September 3, 2009 (inception) to December 31, 2010

Common Stock

	Preferred Shares	Preferred Amount	Shares	Amount	Additional Paid-in Capital	Deficit Accumulated in the Development Stage	Total Stockholders' Deficit
Balance at September 3, 2009 (Inception)	-	\$ -	1,000,000	\$ 50,000	\$ (50,000)	\$ -	\$ -
Reverse acquisition adjustment	10,000,000	10,000	395,150	19,758	(70,515)		(40,757)
Sale of common (net of derivative liability of warrants of \$586,535)			61,333	3,067	295,398		298,465
Reverse Split 1:50				(71,369)	71,369		
Net loss						(6,801,183)	(6,801,183)
Balance at December 31, 2009	10,000,000	10,000	1,456,483	1,456	246,252	(6,801,183)	(6,543,475)
Common stock issued for debt to founders			92,000	4,600			4,600
Common stock issued for services			21,167	1,058	432,441		433,499
Common stock issued for conversion of convertible notes (net of derivative liability for conversion feature of \$ 552,872)			120,000	6,000	561,872		567,872
Sale of common stock with warrants attached (net of derivative liability on 3,833 warrants of \$ 75,839)			3,834	191	(18,531)		(18,340)

Common stock issued for cash	103,333	5,167	1,385,380	1,390,547
Warrants issued for services			6,995,084	6,995,084
Reverse split 1:50		(16,675)	16,675	
Net loss			(5,709,559)	(5,709,559)
Balance at December 31, 2010	<u>10,000,000</u>	<u>\$ 10,000</u>	<u>1,796,817</u>	<u>\$ 1,797</u>
			<u>\$ 9,619,173</u>	<u>\$(12,510,742)</u>
				<u>\$ (2,879,772)</u>

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.



**CAR CHARGING GROUP, INC.**  
(A Development Stage Company)

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

	For the Year Ended December 31, 2010	For the Period from September 3, 2009 (Inception) to December 31, 2009	For the Period from September 3, 2009 (Inception) to December 31, 2010
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:</b>			
Net loss	\$ (5,709,559)	\$ (6,801,183)	\$(12,510,742)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:			
Depreciation	16,174	441	16,615
Amortization of discount on convertible notes payable	27,634	6,167	33,801
Change in fair value of derivatives liability	(3,211,356)	6,454,754	3,243,398
Common stock and warrants issued for services	7,458,013	-	7,458,013
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
Inventory	-	(72,768)	(72,768)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	17,690	(95,694)	(78,004)
Deposits	(33,439)	(36,257)	(69,696)
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	(74,033)	178,428	104,395
Accrued interest	5,368	1,900	7,268
<b>Net Cash Used in Operating Activities</b>	<b><u>(1,503,508)</u></b>	<b><u>(364,212)</u></b>	<b><u>(1,867,720)</u></b>
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:</b>			
Purchase of office and computer equipment	(18,736)	(17,632)	(36,368)
Purchase of Electric Charging Stations	(155,090)	-	(155,090)
<b>Net Cash Used in Investing Activities</b>	<b><u>(173,826)</u></b>	<b><u>(17,632)</u></b>	<b><u>(191,458)</u></b>
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES</b>			
Proceeds from convertible notes payable	-	100,000	100,000
Sale of common stock, net of issuing costs	1,448,046	885,000	2,333,046
<b>Net Cash Provided By Financing Activities</b>	<b><u>1,448,046</u></b>	<b><u>985,000</u></b>	<b><u>2,433,046</u></b>
<b>NET CHANGE IN CASH</b>	<b>(229,288)</b>	<b>603,156</b>	<b>373,868</b>
<b>CASH AT BEGINNING OF PERIOD</b>	<b><u>603,156</u></b>	<b><u>-</u></b>	<b><u>-</u></b>
<b>CASH AT END OF PERIOD</b>	<b><u>\$ 373,868</u></b>	<b><u>\$ 603,156</u></b>	<b><u>\$ 373,868</u></b>
<b>SUPPLEMENTAL SCHEDULE OF CASH FLOW ACTIVITIES – Cash Paid For:</b>			
Interest expenses	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>
Income taxes	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>
<b>NONCASH INVESTING AND FINANCING ACTIVITIES:</b>			
Common stock issued for debt	<u>\$ 567,871</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 567,871</u>
Inventory reclassified to Property and Equipment	<u>\$ 72,768</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

## **CAR CHARGING GROUP, INC.**

(A Development Stage Company)

December 31, 2010 and 2009

### NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### **1. ORGANIZATION**

Car Charging Group Inc. (“CCGI”) was incorporated on October 3, 2006 under the laws of the State of Nevada as New Image Concepts, Inc. On November 20, 2009, New Image Concepts, Inc. changed its name to Car Charging Group, Inc.

Car Charging, Inc., was incorporated as a Delaware corporation on September 3, 2009. Car Charging Inc. was created to develop electric charging service facilities for the electric vehicle (EV) automobile market. Pursuant to its business plan, Car Charging Inc. (or its affiliates) acquires and installs EV charging stations, and shares servicing fees received from customers that use the charging stations with the property owner(s), on a property by property basis. Car Charging, Inc., therefore, enters into individual arrangements for this purpose with various property owners, which may include, cities, counties, garage operators, hospitals, shopping-malls and facility owner/operators.

During February, 2011, the Shareholders and Board of Directors authorized a decrease of our issued and outstanding common stock, in the form of a reverse stock-split, on a one-for-fifty (1:50) basis (the “Reverse Stock-Split”). There was no change to the authorized amount of shares or to the par value. All share and per share amounts included in the consolidated financial statements have been adjusted retroactively to reflect the effects of the Reverse Stock-Split.

#### Merger

On December 7, 2009, CCGI entered into a Share Exchange Agreement (the “Agreement”) among CCGI and Car Charging, Inc. (“CCI”)

Pursuant to the terms of the Agreement, CCGI agreed to issue an aggregate of 10,000,000 restricted shares of CCGI's common stock and 10,000,000 shares of its Series A Convertible Preferred Stock to the CCI Shareholders in exchange for all of the issued and outstanding shares of CCI.

The merger was accounted for as a reverse acquisition and recapitalization. CCI is the acquirer for accounting purposes and CCGI is the issuer. Accordingly, CCGI's historical financial statements for periods prior to the acquisition become those of the acquirer retroactively restated for the equivalent number of shares issued in the merger. Operations prior to the merger are those of CCI. From inception on September 3, 2009 until the merger date, December 7, 2009, CCI had minimal operations with no revenues. Earnings per share for the period prior to the merger are restated to reflect the equivalent number of shares outstanding.

The consolidated financial statements consist of CCGI and its wholly-owned subsidiaries, collectively referred to herein as the “Company” or “Car Charging.” All intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated in consolidation.

#### **2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

##### BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying consolidated financial statements and related notes have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“U.S. GAAP”) for financial statements and with the rules and regulations of the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) for Form 10-K.

### DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY

The Company is a development stage company as defined by ASC 915-10 “*Development Stage Entities*.” The Company is still devoting substantially all of its efforts on establishing the business and its planned principal operations have not commenced. All losses accumulated since inception have been considered as part of the Company’s development stage activities.

### USE OF ESTIMATES

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities as of the date of the financial statements and reporting period. Accordingly, actual results could differ from those estimates.

### CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS

The company considers all highly liquid investments purchased with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

### INVENTORY

The Company values inventories, which consist of purchased (EV) charging stations held for resale at the lower of cost or market. Cost is determined on the first-in and first-out (“FIFO”) method. The Company regularly reviews its inventory on hand and, when necessary, records a provision for excess or obsolete inventories based primarily on current selling. The Company determined that there was no inventory obsolescence as of December 31, 2009.

In December 2010, management determined that EV Charging Stations that were previously recorded as inventory would be used for future installations by the Company and reclassified \$72,768 in inventory to EV Charging Stations.

### EV CHARGING STATIONS

EV Charging Stations represents the depreciable cost of charging devices that have been installed on the premises of participating owner/operator properties. They are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is provided on the straight-line basis over an estimated useful life of three years. Upon sale, replacement or retirement, the related cost and accumulated depreciation are removed from the accounts and any gain or loss is reflected in consolidated statements of income. The Company held approximately \$156,000 in EV charging stations that were not placed in service as of December 31, 2010. The Company will begin depreciating this equipment when installation is substantially complete. Depreciation for the years ended December 31, 2010 and for the period from September 3, 2009 (inception) through December 31, 2009 was \$11,242, and \$ 0, respectively.

In December 2010, management determined that EV Charging Stations that were previously recorded as inventory would be used for future installations and reclassified \$72,768 in inventory to EV Charging Stations.

### OFFICE AND COMPUTER EQUIPMENT

Office and computer equipment are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is provided on the straight-line basis over an estimated useful life of five years. Upon sale or retirement of furniture and fixtures, the related cost and accumulated depreciation are removed from the accounts and any gain or loss is reflected in consolidated statements of income. Depreciation for the years ended December 31, 2010 and for the period from September 3, 2009 (inception) through December 31, 2009 was \$4,932, and \$ 441, respectively.

### IMPAIRMENT OF LONG-LIVED ASSETS

The Company has adopted paragraph 360-10-35-17 of the FASB Accounting Standards Codification for its long-lived assets. The Company’s long-lived assets, which include EV Charging Stations, office and computer equipment and security deposit, are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the asset may not be recoverable.

The Company assesses the recoverability of its long-lived assets by comparing the projected undiscounted net cash flows associated with the related long-lived asset or group of long-lived assets over their remaining estimated useful lives against their respective carrying amounts. Impairment, if any, is based on the excess of the carrying amount

over the fair value of those assets. Fair value is generally determined using the asset's expected future discounted cash flows or market value, if readily determinable. If long-lived assets are determined to be recoverable, but the newly determined remaining estimated useful lives are shorter than originally estimated, the net book values of the long-lived assets are depreciated over the newly determined remaining estimated useful lives. The Company determined that there were no impairments of long-lived assets as of December 31, 2010 or 2009.

### DISCOUNT ON DEBT

The Company allocated the proceeds received from convertible debt instruments between the underlying debt instruments and has recorded the conversion feature as a liability in accordance with paragraph 815-15-25-1 of the FASB Accounting Standards Codification. The conversion feature and certain other features that are considered embedded derivative instruments, such as a conversion reset provision have been recorded at their fair value within the terms of paragraph 815-15-25-1 of the FASB Accounting Standards Codification as its fair value can be separated from the convertible note and its conversion is independent of the underlying note value. The conversion liability is marked to market each reporting period with the resulting gains or losses shown on the Statement of Operations.

### DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS

The Company evaluates its convertible debt, warrants or other contracts to determine if those contracts or embedded components of those contracts qualify as derivatives to be separately accounted for in accordance with paragraph 810-10-05-4 of the FASB Accounting Standards Codification and paragraph 815-40-25 of the FASB Accounting Standards Codification. The result of this accounting treatment is that the fair value of the embedded derivative is marked-to-market each balance sheet date and recorded as a liability. In the event that the fair value is recorded as a liability, the change in fair value is recorded in the Statement of Operations as other income or expense. Upon conversion or exercise of a derivative instrument, the instrument is marked to fair value at the conversion date and then that fair value is reclassified to equity.

In circumstances where the embedded conversion option in a convertible instrument is required to be bifurcated and there are also other embedded derivative instruments in the convertible instrument that are required to be bifurcated, the bifurcated derivative instruments are accounted for as a single, compound derivative instrument.

The classification of derivative instruments, including whether such instruments should be recorded as liabilities or as equity, is re-assessed at the end of each reporting period. Equity instruments that are initially classified as equity that become subject to reclassification are reclassified to liability at the fair value of the instrument on the reclassification date. Derivative instrument liabilities will be classified in the balance sheet as current or non-current based on whether or not net-cash settlement of the derivative instrument is expected within 12 months of the balance sheet date.

### FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

U.S. GAAP for fair value measurements establishes a fair value hierarchy which prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value into three levels. The fair value hierarchy gives the highest priority to quoted market prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3). Level 2 inputs are inputs, other than quoted prices included within Level 1, which are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. The fair value hierarchy gives the highest priority to quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs.

The carrying amounts of the Company's financial assets and liabilities, such as cash, prepaid expenses, accounts payable and accrued expenses, approximate their fair values because of the short maturity of these instruments. The Company's convertible notes payable approximates the fair value of such instrument based upon management's best estimate of interest rates that would be available to the Company for similar financial arrangement at December 31, 2010.

The Company revalues its derivative liability at every reporting period and recognizes gains or losses in the consolidated statement of operations that are attributable to the change in the fair value of the derivative liability. The Company has no other assets or liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis.

### REVENUE RECOGNITION

The Company applies paragraph 605-10-S99-1 of the FASB Accounting Standards Codification for revenue recognition. The Company will recognize revenue when it is realized or realizable and earned. The Company considers revenue realized or realizable and earned when all of the following criteria are met: (i) persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, (ii) the services have been rendered to the customer, (iii) the sales price is fixed or determinable, and (iv) collectability is reasonably assured. Accordingly, when a customer completes use of a charging station, the service can be deemed rendered and revenue may be recognized



### STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION FOR OBTAINING EMPLOYEE SERVICES

The Company accounts for equity instruments issued to employees and directors pursuant to paragraphs 718-10-30-6 of the FASB Accounting Standards Codification, whereby all transactions in which services are the consideration received for the issuance of equity instruments are accounted for based on the fair value of the consideration received or the fair value of the equity instrument issued, whichever is more readily measurable. The measurement date used to determine the fair value of the equity instrument issued is the earlier of the date on which the performance is complete or the date on which it is probably that performance will occur.

The Company's policy is to recognize compensation cost for awards with service conditions and when applicable a graded vesting schedule on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period for the entire award.

### EQUITY INSTRUMENTS ISSUED TO PARTIES OTHER THAN EMPLOYEES FOR ACQUIRING GOODS OR SERVICES

The Company accounts for equity instruments issued to parties other than employees for acquiring goods or services under guidance of section 505-50-30 of the FASB Accounting Standards Codification ("FASB ASC Section 505-50-30"). Pursuant to FASB ASC Section 505-50-30, all transactions in which goods or services are the consideration received for the issuance of equity instruments are accounted for based on the fair value of the consideration received or the fair value of the equity instrument issued, whichever is more reliably measurable. The measurement date used to determine the fair value of the equity instrument issued is the earlier of the date on which the performance is complete or the date on which it is probable that performance will occur.

### INCOME TAXES

The Company follows Section 740-10-30 of the FASB Accounting Standards Codification, which requires recognition of deferred tax assets and liabilities for the expected future tax consequences of events that have been included in the financial statements or tax returns. Under this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are based on the differences between the financial statement and tax bases of assets and liabilities using enacted tax rates in effect for the year in which the differences are expected to reverse. Deferred tax assets are reduced by a valuation allowance to the extent management concludes it is more likely than not that the assets will not be realized. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in the Statements of Operations in the period that includes the enactment date.

The Company adopted section 740-10-25 of the FASB Accounting Standards Codification ("Section 740-10-25"). Section 740-10-25 addresses the determination of whether tax benefits claimed or expected to be claimed on a tax return should be recorded in the financial statements. Under Section 740-10-25, the Company may recognize the tax benefit from an uncertain tax position only if it is more likely than not that the tax position will be sustained on examination by the taxing authorities, based on the technical merits of the position. The tax benefits recognized in the financial statements from such a position should be measured based on the largest benefit that has a greater than fifty percent (50%) likelihood of being realized upon ultimate settlement. Section 740-10-25 also provides guidance on de-recognition, classification, interest and penalties on income taxes, accounting in interim periods and requires increased disclosures. The Company had no material adjustments to its liabilities for unrecognized income tax benefits according to the provisions of Section 740-10-25.

NET LOSS PER COMMON SHARE

Net loss per common share is computed pursuant to section 260-10-45 of the FASB Accounting Standards Codification. Basic net loss per common share is computed by dividing net loss by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the period. Diluted net loss per common share is computed by dividing net loss by the weighted average number of shares of common stock and potentially outstanding shares of common stock during the period.

The following table shows the weighted-average number of potentially outstanding dilutive shares excluded from the diluted net loss per share calculation for the year ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, as they were anti-dilutive (after giving effect to the Reverse Stock-Split):

	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>
Convertible notes issued on September 25, 2009	34,000,000	40,000,000
Preferred stock issued on December 7, 2009	25,000,000	25,000,000
Warrants issued on December 7, 2009	109,333	142,666
Warrants issued on April 1, 2010	55,000	
Warrants issued on April 12, 2010	5,000	
Warrants issued on April 27, 2010	440,000	
Warrants issued on May 5, 2010	3,834	
Warrants issued on August 25, 2010	<u>1,043,433</u>	
	Total Potential Dilutive	
Shares	<u>60,656,600</u>	<u>65,142,666</u>



### COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

The Company follows subtopic 450-20 of the FASB Accounting Standards Codification to report accounting for contingencies. Liabilities for loss contingencies arising from claims, assessments, litigation, fines and penalties and other sources are recorded when it is probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of the assessment can be reasonably estimated.

### CASH FLOWS REPORTING

The Company adopted paragraph 230-10-45-24 of the FASB Accounting Standards Codification for cash flows reporting, classifies cash receipts and payments according to whether they stem from operating, investing, or financing activities and provides definitions of each category, and uses the indirect or reconciliation method (“Indirect method”) as defined by paragraph 230-10-45-25 of the FASB Accounting Standards Codification to report net cash flow from operating activities by adjusting net income to reconcile it to net cash flow from operating activities by removing the effects of (a) all deferrals of past operating cash receipts and payments and all accruals of expected future operating cash receipts and payments and (b) all items that are included in net income that do not affect operating cash receipts and payments.

### SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The Company follows the guidance in Section 855-10-50 of the FASB Accounting Standards Codification for the disclosure of subsequent events. The Company will evaluate subsequent events through the date when the financial statements were issued. Pursuant to ASU 2010-09 of the FASB Accounting Standards Codification, the Company as an SEC filer considers its financial statements issued when they are widely distributed to users, such as through filing them on EDGAR.

### RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In January 2010, the FASB amended guidance now codified as FASB ASC Topic 810, “Consolidation.” FASB ASC Topic 810 changes the accounting and reporting for minority interests, which will be re-characterized as non-controlling interests and classified as a component of equity. The amendment of FASB ASC Topic 810-10 establishes the accounting and reporting guidance for non-controlling interests and changes in ownership interests of a subsidiary. FASB ASC Topic 810 is effective for us on a prospective basis for business combinations with an acquisition date beginning in the first quarter of fiscal year 2010. The adoption of FASB ASC Topic 810 as amended did not have an impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In January 2010, the FASB amended its guidance now codified as FASB ASC Topic 505-20, “Equity – Stock Dividends and Stock Splits,” to clarify that the stock portion of a distribution to shareholders that allows them to elect to receive cash or stock with a limit on the amount of cash that will be distributed is not a stock dividend for purposes of applying Topics 505 and 260. These provisions of FASB ASC Topic 505 are effective for interim and annual periods ending after December 15, 2009 and, accordingly, are effective for us for the current fiscal reporting period. The adoption of this pronouncement did not have an impact on our financial condition or results of operations as we do not currently have distributions that allow shareholders such an election.

In January 2010, the FASB issued authoritative guidance which requires new disclosures and clarifies existing disclosure requirements for fair value measurements. Specifically, the changes require disclosure of transfers into and out of “Level 1” and “Level 2” (as defined in the accounting guidance) fair value measurements, and also require more detailed disclosure about the activity within “Level 3” (as defined) fair value measurements. This guidance is effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009, with the exception of the disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements of Level 3 assets and liabilities, which is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010. As this guidance only requires expanded disclosures, the adoption did not impact the Company’s consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In December 2010, the FASB issued amendments that modify Step 1 of the goodwill impairment test for reporting units with zero or negative carrying amounts. For those reporting units, an entity is required to perform Step 2 of the goodwill impairment test if it is more likely than not that a goodwill impairment exists. In determining whether it is more likely than not that goodwill impairment exists, an entity should consider whether there are any adverse qualitative factors indicating that impairment may exist. The qualitative factors are consistent with the existing guidance, which requires that goodwill of a reporting unit be tested for impairment between annual tests if an event occurs or circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of a reporting unit below its carrying amount. These amendments are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2010. Early adoption is not permitted. The adoption of this guidance is not expected to have a significant impact on the Company’s consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

A variety of proposed or otherwise potential accounting standards are currently under study by standard-setting organizations and various regulatory agencies. Because of the tentative and preliminary nature of these proposed standards, management has not determined whether implementation of such proposed standards would be material to our consolidated financial statements.

Management does not believe that any other recently issued, but not yet effective accounting pronouncements, if adopted, would have a material effect on the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

### **3. GOING CONCERN**

As shown in the accompanying financial statements, the Company has a retained deficit of \$12,510,742 at December 31, 2010, with a net loss for the year ended December 31, 2010 of \$5,709,559 and net cash used in operating activities of \$ 1,503,508 for the year then ended, respectively. The Company has earned no revenues since inception. This raises substantial doubt about the Company’s ability to continue as a going concern.

Management intends to raise additional funds by way of a public or private offering. Management believes that the actions presently being taken to further implement its business plan, including installation of charging stations throughout the United States, provides the opportunity for the Company to continue as a going concern. While the Company believes in the viability of its strategy to generate revenues and in its ability to raise additional funds, there can be no assurances to that effect. The ability of the Company to continue as a going concern is dependent upon the Company’s ability to further implement its business plan and generate sufficient revenues.

The consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might be necessary if the Company is unable to continue as a going concern.

### **4. CONVERTIBLE NOTES PAYABLE**

Convertible notes payable bear interest of 6% annually which is payable upon maturity on September, 25 2011. The notes have a conversion price of \$.0025 and a full ratchet reset feature.

During June, 2010, \$5,000 of these notes was converted to 40,000 common shares.

During July, 2010, \$10,000 of these notes was converted to 80,000 common shares.

#### Derivative analysis

Due to the fact that these notes have full reset adjustments based upon the issuance of equity securities by the Company in the future, they are subject to derivative liability treatment under Section 815-40-15 of the FASB Accounting Standard Codification (“Section 815-40-15”) (formerly FASB Emerging Issues Task Force (“EITF”) 07-5). The notes have been measured at fair value using a lattice model at each reporting period with gains and losses from the change in fair value of derivative liabilities recognized on the consolidated statement of operations.



The convertible notes gave rise to a derivative liability which was recorded as a discount to the notes upon origination.

The embedded derivative of these notes was re-measured at December 31, 2010 yielding a gain on change in fair value of the derivative of \$ 3,097,216, net of conversions to common stock, for the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to a loss of \$5,799,110 for the year ended December 31, 2009. The derivative value of these notes at December 31, 2010, yielded a derivative liability at fair value of \$ 2,701,894. During the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company converted \$15,000 in convertible notes payable to 120,000 shares of common stock. The conversion resulted in a reduction of the derivative liability and increase to paid-in capital of approximately \$553,000.

On March 18, 2011, the Company issued 21,776,544 common shares pursuant to the conversion of \$50,000 in notes payable together with \$4,441 of accrued interest. This conversion was negotiated to mitigate the effect of the 1:50 Reverse-Split on the note conversion price which Management determined could have significantly dilutive effects. The conversion of the remaining \$31,000 of convertible notes, together with interest thereon, is subject to further negotiation with the holders, however, all remaining note holders have agreed that the original conversion rate of \$.0025 will remain fixed regardless of the Reverse-Split. Accordingly, if the balance of the notes were converted on a similar fixed basis, the Company would issue approximately 12,400,000 additional common shares.

## 5. COMMON STOCK EQUIVALENTS

### *Subscription warrants — (all warrants are stated at post reverse-split [1:50] quantities and values)*

In connection with the closing of the Share Exchange Agreement, on December 7, 2009 the Company entered into a Subscription Agreement for the sale of 61,333 units of securities of the Company aggregating \$920,000. As of May 5, 2010, 3,834 additional units aggregating \$57,500 were issued under similar terms as the December 7, 2009 subscription agreement. Each unit consisted of one share of common stock and a warrant to purchase one share of Company's common stock exercisable at \$30.00 per share. The exercise price is subject to a full ratchet reset feature. As of December 31, 2010, pursuant to the terms of the reset feature, the exercise price of these warrants was reset to 89,333 warrants exercisable at \$15.00 per share and 3,834 warrants exercisable at \$30.00 per share.. The fair value of these warrants granted, were estimated on the date of grant, and recorded as a derivative liability. The derivative was re-measured at December 31, 2010 using their reset value yielding a Gain for the year ended December 31, 2010 on the change in fair value of \$ 225,579 as compared to a loss in fair value of \$1,182,375 during the year ended December 31, 2009. The outstanding liability for the related derivative liability was \$636,220 at December 31, 2010.

In connection with the closing of the Share Exchange Agreement, on December 7, 2009 the Company also issued warrants to purchase 20,000 shares of Company's common stock exercisable at \$30.00 per share. The exercise price is subject to a full ratchet reset feature. As of December 31, 2010, pursuant to the terms of the reset feature, the exercise price of these warrants was reset to \$15.00 per share. The derivative for these 20,000 warrants was re-measured at December 31, 2010 yielding a derivative liability of \$129,749, which results from a gain on change in fair value for the year ended December 31, 2010 of \$15,589 as compared to a loss in fair value during 2009 of \$145,338. The outstanding liability for the related derivative liability was \$129,749 at December 31, 2010.

### *Compensation warrants — (all warrants are stated at post reverse-split [1:50] quantities and values)*

On April 12, 2010, the Company issued 5,000 warrants to purchase shares exercisable at \$42.50 per share. The fair value of these warrants, estimated on the date of grant, was recorded as a expense for consulting services of \$32,355.

On April 1, 2010, the Company issued 55,000 warrants to purchase shares of the Company's common stock, 5,000 at an exercise price of \$15.00 and 50,000 warrants exercisable at \$30.00 per share. On April 27, 2010, the Company issued warrants to purchase 440,000 shares of Company's common stock exercisable at \$15 per share. The exercise price of these 440,000 shares is subject to a full ratchet reset feature. The fair value of all of these warrants, estimated on the date of grant, was recorded as compensation expense of \$3,099,009.

On August 25, 2010, the Company issued 1,033,433 warrants to purchase shares of the Company's common stock exercisable at \$15 per share. The exercise price of these warrants is subject to a full ratchet reset feature. The Company also issued 10,000 warrants to purchase shares of the Company's common stock exercisable at \$51.50 per share. The fair value of all of the warrants, estimated on the date of grant, was recorded as compensation expense of \$3,896,075.



The following table summarizes outstanding warrants by Expiration Date at December 31, 2010:

Quantity	Exercise Price	Expiration Date
20,000	15.00	December 7, 2012
89,333	15.00	December 7, 2014
50,000	30.00	April 1, 2013
5,000	15.00	April 1, 2013
5,000	42.50	April 12, 2013
440,000	15.00	April 27, 2013
3,834	30.00	May 5, 2015
103,000	15.00	August 25, 2012
930,433	15.00	August 25, 2013
10,000	51.50	August 25, 2013
<u>1,656,600</u>		

## 6. STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT

### Series A Convertible Preferred Stock

In connection with the closing of the Share Exchange Agreement, on December 7, 2009 the Company issued 10,000,000 shares of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock with a par value of \$0.001.

The Series A has five (5) times the number of votes on all matters to which common share holders are entitled, bears no dividends, has a liquidation value eight times that sum available for distribution to common stock holders and is convertible at the option of the holder after the date of issuance at a rate of 2.5 shares of common stock for every preferred share issued however, the preferred shares cannot be converted if conversion would cause the holder to own more than 4.99% of the outstanding shares of common stock (or after 61 days up to 9.99%).

The Company is authorized to issue 500,000,000 shares of common stock and 20,000,000 shares of preferred stock.

Common stock (all stock and warrants are stated at post reverse-split [1:50] quantities and values)

On December 7, 2009 the Company entered into a Subscription Agreement for the sale of 61,333 units of securities of the Company aggregating \$920,000. Each unit consisted of one share of common stock and a warrant to purchase one share of Company's common stock exercisable at \$30.00 per share. The Company received \$885,000, which was net of costs of \$35,000.

On February 19, 2010, the Company issued 92,000 shares of its common stock at \$.05 per share, to extinguish a debt to its founders of \$4,600 included in accounts payable. The stock was treated as founders' shares and issued at its par value of \$0.001.

On February 19, 2010, the Company issued 8,500 shares of its common stock at \$15 per share, for services performed with a fair value of \$127,500.

On May 5, 2010, the Company issued 3,834 shares of common stock at \$15.00 per share with warrants attached exercisable at \$30.00 per share. See the description of warrants with embedded derivatives in Note 5 above for a more complete description of this transaction.

During June 2010, the Company issued 40,000 shares of common stock at \$.125 each, in exchange for \$5,000 of convertible notes payable. During July 2010 the Company issued 80,000 shares of common stock at \$.125 each, in exchange for \$10,000 of convertible notes payable. See the derivative analysis of this transaction in Note 4 above for a complete description of this transaction.

On July 30, 2010, the Company issued 36,667 shares of common stock at \$15.00 per share.

On August 19, 2010, the Company issued 6,000 shares of its common stock at \$ 51.50 per share, for services performed with a fair value of \$ 309,000.

On September 7, 2010, the Company issued 66,667 shares of common stock at \$15.00 per share, together with 6,667 shares of common stock for services performed in connection with the sale of these share. The Company received \$

886,005, which was net of costs of \$113,995.

## 7. INCOME TAXES

### Deferred tax assets

#### Income Taxes

No provision has been recorded for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 since the company had net losses and the increase in the deferred tax asset was offset by the valuation allowance.

Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amount of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. Significant components of the Company's net deferred income taxes are as follows:

#### Deferred Tax Asset (Liability):

	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>
Net tax loss carry forwards	\$ 385,359	\$ 68,107
Derivative liability	638,139	1,269,973
Stock based compensation	1,467,543	-
Tax credit carry forward	35,970	-
	<u>2,527,011</u>	<u>1,338,080</u>
Valuation allowance	<u>(2,527,011)</u>	<u>(1,338,080)</u>
Non current deferred income tax assets	<u>\$ 0</u>	<u>\$ 0</u>

At December 31, 2010 and 2009, the Company had a net operating loss carry forwards for both federal and state purposes of approximately \$1.9 million and \$3.3 million, respectively, which may be offset against future taxable income through 2030.

The Company has determined that a valuation for the entire income tax provision is required. A valuation allowance is required if, based on the weight of evidence, it is more likely than not that some or the entire portion of the deferred tax asset will not be realized. After consideration of all the evidence, both positive and negative, management has determined that a full valuation allowance is necessary to reduce the deferred tax asset to zero, the amount that will more likely not be realized. The change in the valuation allowance for the current year is \$1.189 million.

### Income taxes in the statements of operations

A reconciliation of the federal statutory income tax rate and the effective income tax rate as a percentage of income before income taxes is as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31, 2010 and <u>2009</u>
Federal statutory income tax rate	15.0%
State taxes net of federal benefit	<u>5.0%</u>
	20.0%
Change in valuation allowance on deferred tax asset	<u>(20.0)%</u>
Effective income tax rate	<u>0.0%</u>

In May 2007, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position ("FSP") FIN 48-1 "Definition of Settlement in FASB Interpretation No. 48" (FSP FIN 48-1). Now codified FASB ASC 740-10-25-9 provides guidance on how to determine whether a tax position is effectively settled for purpose of recognizing previously unrecognized tax benefits. FSP FIN 48-1 is effective retroactively to January 1, 2007. The implementation of this standard did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial position or results of operation.





**8. RELATED PARTY**

During 2010, the Company paid consulting fees to a company that is owned by its Chief Executive Officer amounting to \$206,000. These fees were paid pursuant to the terms of a two-year support services contract that was in place prior to the CEO's employment. In addition the Company has granted that entity 1,126,667 warrants for services valued at \$4,951,114.

**9. COMMITMENTS**

The company has entered into several contracts that obligate it to future office space lease payments and consulting contracts for financial and investor relations services. The following is a summary of these commitments:

- a) At December 31, 2009, the Company entered into a three (3) year lease for office space at approximately \$75,000 per year.
- b) During November, 2009, the Company entered into a two (2) year financial consulting agreement (see related party note 9) that obligates the Company to remit \$10,000 per month , 5% of any capital arranged and \$500 for each introduction to a partner/landowner who allows the installation of the Company's EV devices together with up to 20,000 warrants to acquire common stock at \$15.00 per share and 5% of the generated revenue from the usage of those units installed; this commitment aggregated to \$240,000 plus a \$75,000 bonus, and derivative liability of \$129,749.
- c) At December 31, 2010, pursuant to a public relations agreement the Company is committed for one year to payments that aggregate to \$7,000 per month and issuance of up to \$1,500 worth of shares of common stock per month; this contracts is subject to cancellation on one month's notice.

**10. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS**

The Company has evaluated all events that occurred after the balance sheet date through the date these financial statements were issued. During March, 2011, the Company entered into a short-term note agreement for \$125,000; the note bears 12% interest and matures on June 16, 2011.

In January 2011, the Company converted \$4,000 of convertible debt into 32,000 shares of common stock.

As discussed in Note 4, in March 2011, the Company converted \$50,000 and related accrued interest to 21,776,544 shares of common stock.

**ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE**

On January 17, 2011, we dismissed Li & Company, PC (“Li”) as our independent registered public accounting firm. The Board of Directors of the Company approved such dismissal on January 17, 2011

- ii The Company’s Board of Directors participated in and approved the decision to change our independent registered public accounting firm.
- iii Li’s reports on the financial statements of the Company for the year ended December 31, 2009 contained a modification to the effect that there was substantial doubt as to the Company’s ability to continue as a going concern. Except for that modification, the reports did not contain any adverse opinion or disclaimer of opinion nor were the reports qualified or modified as to uncertainty, audit scope, or accounting principle..
- iv During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009, and through January 17, 2011 there were (i) no disagreements with Li on any matter of accounting principles or practices, financial statement disclosure, or auditing scope or procedure, which disagreements, if not resolved to the satisfaction of Li, would have caused Li to make reference thereto in its report on the Company’s financial statements for such fiscal year; and (ii) no reportable events as set forth in Item 304(a)(1)(iv) of Regulation S-K.

On January 17, 2011, the Board of Directors appointed Goldstein Schechter Koch P.A. (“GSK”) as the Company’s new independent registered public accounting firm. The decision to engage GSK was approved by the Company’s Board of Directors on January 17, 2011.

- ii Prior to January 17, 2011, the Company did not consult with GSK regarding (1) the application of accounting principles to a specified transactions, (2) the type of audit opinion that might be rendered on the Company’s financial statements, (3) written or oral advice was provided that would be an important factor considered by the Company in reaching a decision as to an accounting, auditing or financial reporting issues, or (4) any matter that was the subject of a disagreement between the Company and its predecessor auditor as described in Item 304(a)(1)(iv) or a reportable event as described in Item 304(a)(1)(v) of Regulation S-K.

## ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

### Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Pursuant to Rule 13a-15(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act"), the Company carried out an evaluation, with the participation of the Company's management, including the Company's Chief Executive Officer ("CEO") and Chief Financial Officer ("CFO") (the Company's principal financial and accounting officer), of the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined under Rule 13a-15(e) under the Exchange Act) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based upon that evaluation, the Company's CEO and CFO concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports that the Company files or submits under the Exchange Act, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including the Company's CEO and CFO, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

### Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting.

The management of the Company is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting for the Company. Our internal control system was designed to, in general, provide reasonable assurance to the Company's management and board regarding the preparation and fair presentation of published financial statements, but because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Our management assessed the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010. The framework used by management in making that assessment was the criteria set forth in the document entitled "Internal Control – Integrated Framework" issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on that assessment, management concluded that, during the period covered by this report, such internal controls and procedures were not effective as of December 31, 2010 and that a material weakness in ICFR existed as more fully described below.

As defined by Auditing Standard No. 5, "An Audit of Internal Control Over Financial Reporting that is Integrated with an Audit of Financial Statements and Related Independence Rule and Conforming Amendments," established by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board ("PCAOB"), a material weakness is a deficiency or combination of deficiencies that result in a more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected. In connection with the assessment described above, management identified the following control deficiencies that represent material weaknesses as of December 31, 2010:

(1) Lack of an independent audit committee or audit committee financial expert. Although our board of directors serves as the audit committee it has no independent directors. Further, we have not identified an audit committee financial expert on our board of directors. These factors are counter to corporate governance practices as defined by the various stock exchanges and may lead to less supervision over management.

Our management determined that this deficiency constituted material weaknesses.

Due to our small size, we are not able to immediately take any action to remediate this material weakness. However, an audit committee will be formed *when circumstances permit*. Notwithstanding the assessment that our ICFR was not effective and that there was a material weakness as identified herein, we believe that our consolidated financial statements contained in this Annual Report fairly present our financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the years covered thereby in all material respects.

This annual report does not include an attestation report of the Company's registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management's report was not subject to attestation by the Company's registered public accounting firm pursuant to temporary rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission that permit the Company to provide only management's report in this annual report.

### **Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting**

No change in our system of internal control over financial reporting occurred during the period covered by this report, for the year ended December 31, 2010 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

## **PART III**

### **ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS, PROMOTERS AND CONTROL PERSONS: COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 16(A) OF THE EXCHANGE ACT**

Our executive officers and directors and their respective ages as of April 1, 2011 are as follows:

<b>Name</b>	<b>Age</b>	<b>Principal Positions With Us</b>
Andy Kinard	45	President, Director
Richard Adeline	67	Chief Financial Officer, Treasurer, Director
Michael Bernstein	41	Director, General Counsel
Michael D. Farkas	39	Chief Executive Officer, Director

Set forth below is a brief description of the background and business experience of our executive officers and directors for the past five years.

#### **Andy Kinard, President, Director**

Mr. Kinard graduated from the Auburn University in 1987. His first employer was Florida Power & Light ("FPL") where he worked for 15 years. In his early years, his focus was on engineering. During his tenure, he performed energy analysis for large commercial accounts, and ultimately became a Certified Energy Manager. Simultaneously, Mr. Kinard was assigned to FPL's electric vehicle program. FPL had their own fleet of electric vehicles that they used to promote the technology.

He spent several years marketing renewable energy in Florida and was a Guest Speaker at the World Energy Congress. He also served on the Board of Directors of the South Florida Manufacturing Association for 4 years.

During the year immediately prior to his joining our Company Mr. Kinard sold electric vehicles in Florida. He has City, County, and State contacts throughout Florida, and has attended every car show, and green fair in the State.

#### **Richard Adeline, Chief Financial Officer, Treasurer, Director**

Since 1984, Richard has been in practice as a CPA in Florida specializing in financial planning, tax preparation and business consulting for both public and non-public companies. Richard is well versed in the reporting requirements for public companies.

Prior to forming his own practice, Richard served as an Audit Manager at Arthur Andersen and Coopers & Lybrand (PriceWaterhouseCoopers), as well as the CFO of Insurance Exchange of the Americas, Inc. He is a licensed CPA in the states of Florida and New York and is a registered Financial Advisor that holds both Life and Health Insurance licenses, as well as various FINRA certifications. Mr. Adeline is a graduate of the City University of New York (Hunter College) in 1965 with a BS in Accounting.

#### **Michael D. Farkas, Chief Executive Officer, Director**

Mr. Farkas is the founder and manager of The Farkas Group, a privately held investment firm. Mr. Farkas also currently holds the position of Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of the Atlas Group, where its subsidiary, Atlas Capital Services, a broker-dealer, has successfully raised more than \$200 million for a number of public clients until it withdrew its FINRA registration in 2007. Over the last 20 years, Mr. Farkas has established a successful track record as a principal investor across a variety of industries, including telecommunications, technology, aerospace and defense, and automotive retail.



### **Michael Bernstein, General Counsel, Director**

Mr. Bernstein is a graduate of New York University and Brooklyn Law School. Since 1996, he has been practicing law and is currently admitted in the state and federal courts of Florida, New York and New Jersey. Mr. Bernstein maintains his law practice in Miami Beach, Florida in the areas of corporate and business transactions, real estate and commercial litigation. Through his law firm, Mr. Bernstein serves as independent corporate counsel for several Florida based companies who transact business nationwide. In 2008, Mr. Bernstein was appointed and has served as a member of the Community Development Advisory Board (CDAC) of the City of Miami Beach.

Mr. Farkas, Mr. Kinard and Mr. Adeline will be responsible for managing the day to day operations and strategic planning for the Company.

### **Family Relationships**

There are no relationships between any of the officers or directors of the Company.

### **Current Issues and Future Management Expectations**

No board audit committee has been formed as of the filing of this Annual Report. The Company plans to form an independent audit committee and has begun the search for suitable candidates. The Company has not found suitable candidates as of the date of this filing.

### **Compliance With Section 16(A) Of The Exchange Act.**

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act requires the Company's officers and directors, and persons who beneficially own more than 10% of a registered class of the Company's equity securities, to file reports of ownership and changes in ownership with the Securities and Exchange Commission and are required to furnish copies to the Company. To the best of the Company's knowledge, any reports required to be filed were timely filed in fiscal year ended December 31, 2010.

### **Code of Ethics**

Our code of ethic is incorporated by reference to Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2008 filed September 30, 2009.

## ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The following summary compensation table sets forth all compensation awarded to, earned by, or paid to the named executive officer during the years ended December 31, 2010, and 2009 in all capacities for the accounts of our executive, including the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and Chief Financial Officer (CFO):

**SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE**

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)	Option Awards (\$)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)	Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$)	All Other Compensation (\$)	Totals (\$)
Andy Kinard, President,*	2010	\$ 84,000							\$ 84,000
	2009	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$ 0
Michael D. Farkas, Chief Executive Officer*	2010	\$ 20,000	60,000						\$ 80,000
	2009	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Richard Adeline, Chief Financial Officer, Treasurer*	2010	\$ 61,500							\$ 61,500
	2009	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$ 0

Option Grants. There were no individual grants of stock options to purchase our common stock made to the executive officer named in the Summary Compensation Table for the year ended December 31, 2010.

No warrants were exercised during the year ended December 31, 2009 or 2010.

Long-Term Incentive Plan ("LTIP") Awards Table. No awards made during the years ended December 2009 or 2010 under any LTIP

### Employment Contract

The Company entered into an employment agreement with the CEO on October 15, 2010. The agreement is for three years and stipulates a base salary of \$120,000 in year one, \$240,000 in year two and \$360,000 in year three. The agreement also included a signing bonus of \$60,000 upon commencement of the agreement. The Employment Agreement is filed as an exhibit to this Form 10-K.



## Compensation of Directors

Directors are permitted to receive fixed fees and other compensation for their services as directors. The Board of Directors has the authority to fix the compensation of directors. No amounts have been paid to, or accrued to, directors in such capacity.

## **ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT**

The following table provides the names and addresses of each person known to us to own more than 5% of our outstanding shares of common stock as of April 1, 2011 and by the officers and directors, individually and as a group. Except as otherwise indicated, all shares are owned directly.

Name and address of Beneficial Owner	Number of Common Shares Owned	Number of Series A Preferred Shares	Number of Warrants Owned	Percentage of Class of Common Stock (2)	Percent of Voting Class (3)
Richard Adeline (1) 1691 Michigan Avenue, Suite 425 Miami Beach, FL 33139	0	0	54,333	0%	0.00%
Andy Kinard (1) 1691 Michigan Avenue, Suite 425 Miami Beach, FL 33139	0	0	40,000	0%	0.00%
Michael Bernstein (1) 1691 Michigan Avenue, Suite 425 Miami Beach, FL 33139	40,000	0	68,667	0%	0.00%
Michael D. Farkas 1691 Michigan Avenue, Suite 425 Miami Beach, FL 33139	0	0	1,146,667(4)	0%	0.00%
Gravity Capital Partners, Ltd. Tashur 27A PO Box 2256 Zich Ron Yaakov Israel 514 332 319	554,200	10,000,000	0	2.3%	48.85%
Ze'evi Group, Inc. 6538 Collins Avenue, Suite 57 Miami Beach, FL 33141	21,776,544	0	0	92.2%	0%

- (1) We have not entered into a formal engagement with Andy Kinard, Richard Adeline or Michael Bernstein; however, we expect that each will receive equity compensation under the terms of their employment.
- (2) Based on 23,609,067 common shares outstanding after the Reverse-Split dated February 25, 2011.
- (3) Based on 122,824,195 shares of voting stock, calculated by adding the number of votes of common stock with the votes of the Series A Preferred Stock. Each share of Series A Preferred Stock has five to one voting rights as designated in the Certificate of Designation for the Series A Convertible Preferred Stock. The total aggregate number of votes for the Series A Preferred Stock is 5 million.
- (4) 1,146,667 warrants were granted to The Farkas Group, Inc which is wholly-owned by Michael D. Farkas.

## **CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS**

None of the following persons has any direct or indirect material interest in any transaction to which we are a party since our incorporation or in any proposed transaction to which we are proposed to be a party except as indicated:

- (A) Any of our directors or officers;
  - During 2010, the Company paid consulting fees to a company that is owned by its Chief Executive Officer amounting to \$206,000. These fees were paid pursuant to the terms of a two-year support services contract that was in place prior to the CEO's employment. In addition the Company has granted that entity 1,126,667 warrants for services valued at \$4,951,114.
- (B) Any proposed nominee for election as our director;
- (C) Any person who beneficially owns, directly or indirectly, shares carrying more than 10% of the voting rights attached to our Common Stock; or
- (D) Any relative or spouse of any of the foregoing persons, or any relative of such spouse, who has the same house as such person or who is a director or officer of any parent or subsidiary of our company.

### **Stock Option Grants**

We have not granted any stock options to our executive officer since our incorporation.

## **ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES**

### **Audit Fees**

For the Company's fiscal years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, we were billed approximately \$62,340 and \$7,500 for professional services rendered for the audit and review of our financial statements.

### **Audit Related Fees**

There were no fees for audit related services for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009.

### **Tax Fees**

For the Company's fiscal years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, we were not billed for professional services rendered for tax compliance, tax advice, and tax planning.

### **All Other Fees**

The Company did not incur any other fees related to services rendered by our principal accountant for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009.

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Effective May 6, 2003, the Securities and Exchange Commission adopted rules that require that before our auditor is engaged by us to render any auditing or permitted non-audit related service, the engagement be:

-approved by our audit committee; or

-entered into pursuant to pre-approval policies and procedures established by the audit committee, provided the policies and procedures are detailed as to the particular service, the audit committee is informed of each service, and such policies and procedures do not include delegation of the audit committee's responsibilities to management.

We do not have an audit committee. Our entire board of directors pre-approves all services provided by our independent auditors.

The pre-approval process has just been implemented in response to the new rules. Therefore, our board of directors does not have records of what percentage of the above fees were pre-approved. However, all of the above services and fees were reviewed and approved by the entire board of directors either before or after the respective services were rendered.



## PART IV

### ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES.

#### a) Documents filed as part of this Annual Report

1. Consolidated Financial Statements
2. Financial Statement Schedules
3. Exhibits

Exhibit Number	Description
2.1 *	Share Exchange Agreement by and among New Image Concepts, Inc. and Car Charging, Inc.
3.1 *	Amendment to Certificate of Incorporation changing name to Car Charging, Inc., increasing the number of preferred shares authorized to 20,000,000 shares, filed with the Secretary of State of the State of Nevada on December 7, 2009
3.2 *	Certificate of Designation designating the rights of the Series A Convertible Preferred Shares
4.1 *	Subscription Agreement
4.2 *	Form of Warrant
10.1	Consulting Agreement by and between Car Charging, Inc. and The Farkas Group, Inc. dated October 20, 2009**
10.2	Investor Relations Consulting Agreement between Z.A. Consulting, Inc. and New Image Concepts, Inc. d/b/a Car Charging Group dated January 1, 2010**
10.3	Settlement Agreement and Release between Z.A. Consulting, Inc., David Zazoff and Car Charging Group, Inc. dated August 19, 2010**
10.4	Public Relations Agreement by and between Beckerman Public Relations and Car Charging, Inc. dated December 14, 2009**
10.5	Novacharge, LLC Electric Vehicle Charging Station Authorized Reseller Agreement between Novacharge, LLC and Echarging Stations, LLC dated October 16, 2009.**
10.6	Lease Agreement between 1691 Michigan Avenue Investment LP and Car Charging Inc. dated December 1, 2009.**
10.7	Car Charging Provider Agreement Form.**(1)
10.8	Executive Employment Agreement between the Company and Michael D. Farkas
14.1	Code of Ethics
31.1	Rule 13a-14(a)/ 15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Executive Officer
31.2	Rule 13a-14(a)/ 15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Financial Officer
32.1	Section 1350 Certification of Chief Executive Officer
32.2	Section 1350 Certification of Chief Financial Officer

\* Filed as exhibits to the Form 8-K filed with the SEC on December 11, 2009.

\*\* Filed as an exhibit to Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2010 filed on December 14, 2010

(1) Certain portions of the exhibit have been omitted based upon a request for confidential treatment. The non-public information has been filed with the Commission.

## SIGNATURES

In accordance with Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, the registrant has duly caused this Annual Report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

### CAR CHARGING GROUP, INC.

Dated: April 13, 2011

By: /s/ Michael D. Farkas  
Michael D. Farkas,  
Chief Executive Officer  
Principal Executive Officer

By: /s/ Richard Adeline  
Richard Adeline  
Chief Financial Officer  
Principal Accounting Officer

In accordance with the Exchange Act, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

<u>Signature</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Date</u>
<u>/s/ Michael D. Farkas</u> Michael D. Farkas	Chief Executive Officer, Principal Executive Officer and Director	April 13, 2011
<u>/s/ Richard Adeline</u> Richard Adeline	Chief Financial Officer, Principal Accounting Officer and Director	April 13, 2011
<u>/s/ Michael Bernstein</u> Michael Bernstein	Director and General Counsel	April 13, 2011
<u>/s/ Andy Kinard</u> Andy Kinard	President and Director	April 13, 2011



**Exhibit 31.1**

**CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER  
PURSUANT TO  
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,  
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF  
THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

I, Michael D. Farkas, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Form 10-K of Car Charging Group, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods present in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13-a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principals;
  - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financing reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involved management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

**Car Charging Group, Inc.**

By: /s/ Michael D. Farkas  
Michael D. Farkas  
Chief Executive Officer  
Principal Executive Officer

April 13, 2011







**CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER  
PURSUANT TO  
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,  
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF  
THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

I, Richard Adeline, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Form 10-K of Car Charging Group, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods present in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13-a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principals;
  - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financing reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involved management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

**Car Charging Group, Inc.**

By: /s/ Richard Adeline  
Richard Adeline  
Chief Financial Officer  
Principal Accounting  
Officer



April 13, 2011

**Exhibit 32.1**

**CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER  
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350**

In connection with this Annual Report of Car Charging Group, Inc. (the "Company") on Form 10-K for the year ending December 31, 2010, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Michael D. Farkas, Chief Executive Officer of the Company, certifies to the best of his knowledge, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Sec. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Sec. 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

1. Such Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ending December 31, 2010, fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
2. The information contained in such Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ending December 31, 2010, fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of Car Charging Group, Inc.

By: /s/ Michael D. Farkas  
Michael D. Farkas  
Chief Executive Officer  
Principal Executive Officer

April 13, 2011

**Exhibit 32.2**

**CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER  
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350**

In connection with this Annual Report of Car Charging Group, Inc. (the "Company") on Form 10-K for the year ending December 31, 2010, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Richard Adeline, Chief Financial Officer of the Company, certifies to the best of his knowledge, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Sec. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Sec. 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

1. Such Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ending December 31, 2010, fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
2. The information contained in such Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ending December 31, 2010, fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of Car Charging Group, Inc.

*By: /s/ Richard Adeline*  
Richard Adeline  
Chief Executive Officer  
Principal Accounting Officer

April 13, 2011

